

RECORD OF INDIVIDUAL EXECUTIVE DECISION MADE BY AN OFFICER

Decision Maker	Decision Type	Date
Neil Consterdine	Administrative Decision	23 rd September 2025

PROPOSAL TO MAKE ALTERATION TO WEST DISTRICT PSPO AND TO CREATE 2 NEW PSPOS.

Exempt/Confidential Report		No
Key Decision ¹		No

Decision (s) ²

Approval is given to commence consultation to make an alteration to the West District PSPO and to create 2 new PSPOs in the absence of any material objections.

Reasons for the decision(s) ³

It is necessary to alter the current PSPO due to the construction of a dwelling housing in the restricted area.

Options/Alternatives considered ⁴

1. Create two new PSPOs, which will still afford protection to most of the residential properties that are protected by the current scheme from the anti-social and criminal behaviour that has occurred at this location prior to the scheme being installed. However, due to positioning of the front door of the new dwelling, three properties which were previously included within the PSPO will now no longer be

¹ If the decision is Key Please use Key Decision Template.

² Brief details of the decision should be inserted. This note must set out the substance of the decision, options considered and the reason for deciding on the chosen option, although care must be taken not to disclose any confidential or exempt information. Please include proposed timescales for commencement and / or completion of implementation as appropriate.

³ Reasons for the decision must be given.

⁴ Options must be given.



included, to comply with the requirement that the principal means of access to a dwelling cannot be within a PSPO.

New PSPO 1: referred to as 164A. Covering 2 – 18 Engine Street, 16 – 30 Whiteley Street and 131- 153 Old Lane.

New PSPO 2: referred to as 164B. Covering 32 – 28 Whiteley Street.

- 2. Discharge the existing PSPO and do not create the 2 proposed new PSPO's. This would require the removal of all existing gates. However, this option would pose a potential risk that anti-social behaviour and criminality, which has previously occurred at this location, may reoccur.**
- 3. Keep the current PSPO in place as it is. However, this would not be recommended as the local authority would not be compliant with the PSPO legislation and would be acting illegally by allowing the scheme to remain in situ.**

Conflict of Interest declared⁵

None

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'N Constable'.

(Signature of Director)

Decision made pursuant to:

- a) General delegation under the Council's officer scheme of delegation. Non-contract decisions up to £250k.**

⁵ If none, please state none. No Member having a disclosable pecuniary interest or officer having an interest in any matter (whether pecuniary or otherwise required to be declared) should take a decision in relation to that matter. Other interests of a non-disqualifying nature should be recorded here. Any dispensation in place in relation to the matter should also be recorded here. This may include other elected Members, officers, stakeholders and the local community.